PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From	the	Japan Patent Off	fice (INTERNATI	ONAL SEARCHING	AUTHORITY)			
To: Agent for Applicant					PCT			
Mr. Hisao KOMORI						101		
Address: 4-34, Nouninbashi 1-chome, Chuo-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka 540-0011, Japan				ı, Osaka-shi,	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (Implementing Regulation 40 bis) (PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
					Date of mailing			-
			-		(day/month/year)	28. 12. 2004		
Ap	plica	int's or agent's fil	le reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
	107	23-MU-PCT		_	See paragraph 2 below			
International application No.			n No.	-	ing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		ar)	
		Г/JP2004/01463		05. 10. 2004	18. 11. 2003			
Inte	ernat	tional Patent Cla	ssification (IPC)	Int. Cl. ⁷ G01S13/4	42			
<u> </u>								
Ap	plica		and Co. I.d.					
L_	Mu	rata Manufacturi	ing Co., Ltd.					
1.	Thi	is oninion contai	ne indications rela	ating to the following i	tems:			
*.	<u> </u>	Box No. I	Basis of the opi	-	ichs.			
		Box No. II	Priority	mon				
		Box No. III	-	eent of oninion with roo	gord to movelty inventiv	a stan and industrial a	nnliaahi	1:4
				_	gard to novelty, inventiv	e step and moustrial ap	эрисаог	iity
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Research statement under Pule 42 his 1/o/i) with record to novelty, inventive step or industrial				mia1			
	■ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				1141			
		Box No. VI	Certain docume	ents cited				
		Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international ap	plication			
	×	Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internatio	nal application			
2.	FU	RTHER ACTIO	ON	•				
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For	further options,	see Form PCT/IS.	A/220	-	•		
		• •						
3.	For	further details,	see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220				
Dat								
Date of completion of this opinion 10. 12. 2004								
Naı	ne a	nd mailing addre	ess of the ISA/JP		Authorized office	r	2S	3206
Japan Patent Office				Koichi MATS	USHITA		l	
3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan Telephone No. 03-3581-1101 Ext. 3257						•		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014636

Box	ι No.	I Basis of this opinion
1.		n regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in the it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purpose of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the med invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	ty	pe of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	fo	rmat of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
c.	tir	ne of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:
	•	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014636

Во	x No. V			ois.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventions supporting such statement	ntive step or industrial
1.	Statement				•
	Novelty	(N)	Claims	1-3, 5-11	YES
			Claims	4	NO
	Inventiv	e step (IS)	Claims	1-3, 5-11	YES
			Claims	4	NO
	Industri	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-11	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication No. 11-337635 (FUJITSU TEN LIMITED) December 10, 1999

In view of Document 1 cited in the international search report, the invention claimed in Claim 4 is not novel and involves no inventive step. Document 1 discloses an idea in which, in calculating the angle of a target in a radar system for scanning with beams, an intersection of straight lines that approximate a power distribution on the left and right sides of a scan angle corresponding to a maximum power in the power distribution corresponding to scan angles is used as a reference scan angle of the target (see paragraphs [0025] to [0027] and Fig. 10).

In the invention claimed in Claims 1 to 3 and 6 to 11, the idea of approximating a reception signal strength distribution by an isosceles triangle having a beam azimuth width as its base is described in none of the documents cited in the international search report, and is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

In the invention claimed in Claim 5, the idea of performing processing, if the length of the base of the approximate isosceles triangle is smaller than the beam azimuth width of a main lobe of the beam, such that an azimuth corresponding to the vertex of the approximate isosceles triangle is not treated as a central azimuth of the target, is described in none of the documents cited in the international search report, and is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014636

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
The following of	servations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully

supported the description, are made: In Claim 9, the phrase "if the reception signal strength distribution within a distance to the target extends further than the beam azimuth width in the azimuth direction" is unclear. According to paragraphs [0081] to [0088] in Description, a determination as to whether a plurality of targets exist is made by detecting the deviation of a peak azimuth on the basis of a comparison of an azimuth at which the reception signal strength reaches its peak and an azimuth range where the reception signal strength distribution exceeds a predetermined threshold. Therefore, the correspondence between the description in Claim 9 and Description is unclear.